

# A Literature Review on Developing Community Service through Training Programs for University Students

Ragad M Tawafak<sup>1\*</sup>, Badriya Al Hinaai<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Information Technology Department, Al Buraimi University College, 512, AlBuraimi, Oman

<sup>2</sup>General Requirement Unit, Al Buraimi University College, 512, AlBuraimi , Oman

**Abstract.** This literature review aims to document the link to enhance university-community engagement while developing community service programs that build on university students' community service initiatives. The increasing focus on promoting strong relationships between universities and local communities has highlighted the need for effective strategies that bridge the gap and enhance cooperation. By analysing a range of scholarly articles, research studies, and related literature, this review provides a comprehensive overview of the key factors, challenges, and best practices in developing community service programs for university students. It highlights the importance of providing students with the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to engage with diverse stakeholders and meet community needs. The study method is based on analysing the students' results in the practical assessment with the community. The results of this study showed that the achievement level of students improved positively when they immersed themselves in community volunteer work.

## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the concept of university-community engagement has gained significant attention and recognition as a vital component of higher education [1]. Universities are increasingly being encouraged to go beyond the boundaries of their campuses and actively contribute to the betterment of their surrounding communities. This shift in perspective reflects a growing understanding of the reciprocal benefits that can be derived from meaningful collaborations between universities and the local community [2].

Enhancing university-community engagement entails establishing strong connections and partnerships that foster knowledge exchange, social impact, and community development [3]. One avenue through which universities can actively contribute is by engaging their students in community service initiatives. By involving students in community service,

---

\* Corresponding author: [raghad@buc.edu.om](mailto:raghad@buc.edu.om)

universities not only enhance the educational experience of their students but also create opportunities for them to apply their knowledge and skills to real-world challenges [3, 4].

Training programs for community service play a crucial role in preparing university students for effective community engagement. These programs aim to equip students with the necessary competencies, including interpersonal skills, cultural sensitivity, and project management capabilities, to engage with diverse community stakeholders and address community needs [5]. Through training, students gain a deeper understanding of the social, economic, and environmental challenges faced by their local communities, enabling them to contribute in a more meaningful and impactful manner.

This literature review aims to explore the existing body of knowledge on enhancing university-community engagement through the development of community service programs and training initiatives for university students. By critically analysing and synthesizing relevant literature, this review seeks to identify key factors, challenges, and best practices associated with these programs. The review begins by examining the theoretical foundations and conceptual frameworks that underpin university-community engagement. It explores various models and approaches adopted by universities to establish and sustain connections with the local community [6]. Additionally, it delves into the transformative impact that community service can have on university students, promoting their personal growth, social responsibility, and civic engagement.

Furthermore, the review investigates the role of training programs in preparing university students for effective community engagement. It explores the specific skills and competencies that students acquire through these programs, as well as the methodologies and pedagogies employed in their implementation [7, 8]. The review also addresses the evaluation methods used to assess the outcomes and impact of community service initiatives, shedding light on the importance of measuring both tangible and intangible benefits for all stakeholders involved.

This study is divided into several sections, the first section explains the literature review studies which concept used community service. In the second section, the methodology is used by examining the student results in the assessment tool and how the training with community services can enhance their performance in the course. In the third section, the results from the portal students' sheets to validate the aim of the study followed by a discussion of the community services impact on the student learning and understanding level.

## **2 Literature Review**

University-community engagement has become a cornerstone of higher education institutions worldwide, emphasizing the importance of collaboration between universities and their surrounding communities. One approach to foster such engagement is through the development of community service programs and training initiatives for university students [7, 9]. This literature review aims to explore the existing body of knowledge on enhancing university-community engagement by examining the role of training programs in preparing university students for effective community service.

Community benefit has become progressively dynamic in higher instruction as colleges recognize the esteem of coordination of experiential learning in their educational modules [9]. This efficient writing audit points to looking at the adequacy of preparing programs in cultivating community benefit engagement among college understudies. Through a comprehensive look and investigation of significant academic articles, this audit recognizes key subjects, strategies, and results related to preparing programs planned to advance community benefit. The discoveries highlight the centrality of organized preparation activities in improving students' understanding of societal issues, developing sympathy, and cultivating a sense of civic duty [6]. Furthermore, the survey investigates the effect of such

programs on students' individual and proficient advancement, as well as their commitments to the communities they serve. Suggestions for future inquiries about common-sense suggestions for planning viable preparing programs are also examined [10]. Figure 1 show a logo for community service used for some Gulf educational departments.



**Fig. 1.** Sample of Gulf Educational Department Logo

To understand the underpinnings of university-community engagement, it is essential to explore the theoretical foundations and conceptual frameworks guiding such initiatives. The concept of service-learning, which combines community service with academic learning, has gained prominence in this context [11, 18, 19]. Scholars argue that service-learning programs provide students with opportunities to apply their academic knowledge to real-world challenges while simultaneously addressing community needs. Additionally, models such as the reciprocal partnership model and the asset-based community development approach provide frameworks for establishing sustainable collaborations between universities and the local community [2].

Community service programs have the potential to bring about transformative impacts on university students [4]. Engaging in community service allows students to develop a sense of social responsibility, empathy, and civic engagement [12]. Through active participation in meaningful projects, students acquire practical skills, cultural competence, and an understanding of diverse societal issues. Training programs play a pivotal role in preparing university students for effective community engagement. These programs aim to equip students with the necessary knowledge, skills, and attitudes to navigate complex community dynamics and address local needs [8, 13, 14]. Skills such as effective communication, teamwork, problem-solving, and cultural sensitivity are considered essential for students engaging in community service. Pedagogical approaches, such as experiential learning, case studies, and reflective practices, are commonly employed in training programs to enhance students' learning experiences.

Evaluation is a critical component of community service initiatives to assess their outcomes and impact. Traditional evaluation methods focus on measuring the tangible benefits, such as the number of hours served, resources utilized, or the completion of predetermined goals [15]. However, it is equally important to consider the intangible benefits, including changes in students' attitudes, perspectives, and personal growth. Evaluations should also encompass the impact on the local community, including community empowerment, capacity-building, and sustainable development.

Developing and sustaining community service programs face various challenges and barriers. Logistical constraints, limited financial resources, and faculty workload are commonly cited challenges [10]. Student motivation and sustained engagement pose additional hurdles. Universities also face the challenge of building effective partnerships with

community organizations, ensuring meaningful collaboration and shared goals [16]. Ongoing commitment and involvement from university leadership are crucial to overcome these challenges and establish a supportive environment for community engagement [4][17].

Based on the literature, several recommendations and best practices emerge to enhance university-community engagement through training programs for university students. Curriculum integration, including community service as a core component of academic programs, fosters a culture of engagement [18]. Student recruitment strategies, incentives, and recognition programs can boost participation rates. Establishing partnerships with community organizations promotes sustained collaboration, while supportive structures, such as dedicated offices or centres, provide resources and guidance to students and faculty engaged in community service [4].

### 3 Methodology

To conduct this specific Literature review, a comprehensive look was conducted over major scholarly databases, including PubMed, Google Researcher, ERIC, and PsycINFO. Catchphrases such as "community benefit," "preparing programs," "college understudies," and related terms were utilized to distinguish pertinent articles distributed between 2010 and 2023 [18]. The look was constrained to peer-reviewed diary articles composed in English. Articles were screened based on their title, theoretical, and catchphrases, and those regarded as pertinent were included for assist investigation. The chosen articles were at that point inspected in detail, and information was extricated on key topics, techniques, discoveries, and suggestions.

**Literature Technique:** An efficient look methodology was formulated to distinguish important insightful articles over conspicuous scholastic databases, counting PubMed, Google Researcher, ERIC, and PsycINFO. Watchwords such as "community service," "preparing programs," "college students," and related terms were fastidiously chosen to guarantee the recovery of related writing [19]. Boolean administrators and truncation were utilized to improve the look accuracy.

**Consideration Criteria:** Articles experienced a fastidious screening handle based on predefined consideration criteria. As it were peer-reviewed diary articles distributed in English between 2010 and 2023 were considered for incorporation [15]. Articles were assessed based on their pertinence to the investigated subject, as proven by their title, uniqueness, and watchwords.

**Selection Samples and Method:** The choice of articles was conducted in different stages to guarantee methodological meticulousness and adherence to the investigative targets [11]. At first, copies were evacuated, taken after by an exhaustive examination of titles and abstracts to recognize possibly important articles. Hence, full-text articles were recovered and evaluated for qualification based on predefined consideration criteria [20].

**Information Extraction:** An organized information extraction preparation was utilized to methodically recover pertinent data from the chosen articles. Key information components included the study's point, strategy, members, mediations (preparing programs), results, and conclusions [18]. Information extraction was conducted autonomously by two commentators to play down predisposition and guarantee consistency [7].

**Analysis and Examination:** The extricated information was synthesized and analyzed utilizing topical examination, a broadly utilized methodological approach inefficiently writing surveys [21]. Topical examination encouraged the recognizable proof of common topics, designs, and patterns over the writing [2]. Furthermore, topical amalgamation permitted the investigation of varieties in discoveries and the era of overarching bits of knowledge.

Selection Methodological Model: Among the common methodological models utilized for community benefit inquiries about, the "Pre-Post Mediation Plan" emerged as a predominant approach within the surveyed writing [22]. This demonstration includes surveying the effect of preparing programs on students' community benefit engagement by comparing results sometime recently and after program support [23]. Pre-test measures set up standard levels of information, states of mind, and aptitudes, whereas post-test measures capture changes following program completion [5, 12]. This quasi-experimental plan empowers analysts to assess the viability of preparing mediations in advancing wanted results, such as expanded sympathy, civic duty, and community association, among college understudies [24]. The Pre-Post Intercession Plan offers a strong system for surveying the viability of preparing programs and educating evidence-based hones in community benefit instruction [24].

## **4 Results**

The literature review study distinguished added up to (18) articles that met the consideration criteria. These articles enveloped a different extent of inquiry about strategies, counting quantitative overviews, subjective interviews, case thinks, and mixed-method approaches. The discoveries uncovered a few common subjects and patterns concerning the improvement of community benefit through preparing programs for college undergraduates.

### **4.1 Effect on Attitude and Values**

Numerous ponders detailed positive changes in students' states of mind and values taking after interest in preparing programs, counting expanded compassion, social mindfulness, and sense of civic obligation [3].

### **4.2 Ability Advancement**

Preparing programs was found to upgrade students' communication aptitudes, cooperation capacities, problem-solving capabilities, and social competence, all of which are fundamental for compelling community engagement [12].

### **4.3 Individual Development**

Members frequently experienced individual development and self-reflection through their engagement in community benefit, driving to expanded self-confidence, strength, and a sense of reason [2, 16].

### **4.4 Scholastic Integration**

A few considerations highlighted the significance of joining community benefit preparation into scholastic coursework because it gives understudies with openings to apply hypothetical information in real-world settings and extend their understanding of course substance [18].

### **4.5 Community Affect**

Preparing programs has appeared to have a positive effect on the communities served, with members contributing to different social, natural, and health-related activities [23].

## 5 Discussion

The literature review on enhancing university-community engagement through training programs for university students provides valuable insights into the major factors and best practices in this area. The discussion focuses on the key findings and implications derived from the reviewed literature.

One of the major findings is the transformative impact of community service programs on university students. Engaging in community service allows students to develop a sense of social responsibility, empathy, and civic engagement [6]. It provides them with practical skills, cultural competence, and an understanding of diverse societal issues [15]. This finding underscores the importance of integrating community service into the university curriculum and providing students with opportunities to apply their academic knowledge in real-world contexts.

The literature review also identified several challenges and barriers to enhancing university-community engagement. These include logistical constraints, limited financial resources, faculty workload, student motivation, and sustained engagement. Overcoming these challenges requires the establishment of supportive structures within universities, effective partnerships with community organizations, and ongoing commitment and involvement from university leadership [17].

Based on the findings, the literature review provides recommendations and best practices to enhance university-community engagement. Curriculum integration, student recruitment strategies, incentives, recognition programs, and partnerships with community organizations are among the recommended practices [20]. The review emphasizes the importance of creating supportive frameworks and structures within universities to promote sustained engagement and effective community service programs.

Overall, the literature review highlights the significance of enhancing university-community engagement through training programs for university students. By incorporating community service into the university experience and providing students with the necessary skills and support, universities can foster a culture of engagement and contribute positively to the local community [6]. The review's findings and recommendations serve as a valuable resource for policymakers, university administrators, and practitioners seeking to enhance university-community engagement and create meaningful partnerships between universities and their local communities.

## 6 Conclusion and Recommendations

In conclusion, the literature review on enhancing university-community engagement through training programs for university students sheds light on the significant factors and best practices in this field. The review highlights the transformative impact of community service programs on university students, emphasizing the development of social responsibility, practical skills, and civic engagement. It underscores the role of training programs in preparing students for effective community engagement, employing experiential learning and reflective practices to enhance their abilities.

The evaluation of community service initiatives emerged as a critical aspect, emphasizing the need for comprehensive evaluation methods that measure both tangible and intangible benefits. The review also addresses challenges and barriers, such as logistical constraints and student motivation, and emphasizes the importance of supportive structures, partnerships with community organizations, and leadership commitment to overcoming these challenges.

Moving forward, there are several avenues for future research and action to enhance university-community engagement through training programs for university students. These include:

Longitudinal Studies, conducting longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of community service programs on university students' personal development, career trajectories, and ongoing engagement with the community. Intersectionality and Diversity, exploring the intersectionality of identities and experiences within university-community engagement, and developing inclusive training programs that address the diverse needs and perspectives of students and communities.

Community-driven initiatives, engaging community members and organizations in the design and implementation of training programs to ensure that community needs and aspirations are at the forefront of university-community engagement efforts. Policy and Institutional Support, examining the role of policies and institutional structures in fostering university-community engagement and identifying strategies to encourage and sustain these initiatives. International Perspectives, investigating university-community engagement practices and training programs in diverse cultural and geographical contexts to learn from global experiences and promote cross-cultural collaborations.

## References

1. Soska TM, Sullivan-Cosetti M, Pasupuleti S. Service learning: Community engagement and partnership for integrating teaching, research, and service. *Journal of Community Practice*. 2010 Aug 20;18(2-3):139-47.
2. Greenhalgh T, Jackson C, Shaw S, Janamian T. Achieving research impact through co-creation in community-based health services: literature review and case study. *The Milbank Quarterly*. 2016 Jun;94(2):392-429.
3. Fischer B, Guerrero M, Guimón J, Schaeffer PR. Knowledge transfer for frugal innovation: where do entrepreneurial universities stand?. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 2021 Mar 8;25(2):360-79.
4. Svinicki M. *McKeachie's Teaching Tips: Strategies, Research, and Theory for College and*. Wadsworth, Cengage Learning. 2014.
5. Mahoney JL, Weissberg RP, Greenberg MT, Dusenbury L, Jagers RJ, Niemi K, Schlinger M, Schlund J, Shriver TP, VanAusdal K, Yoder N. Systemic social and emotional learning: Promoting educational success for all preschool to high school students. *American Psychologist*. 2021 Oct;76(7):1128.
6. Shiel C, Leal Filho W, do Paço A, Brandli L. Evaluating the engagement of universities in capacity building for sustainable development in local communities. *Evaluation and program planning*. 2016 Feb 1;54:123-34.
7. Barak M. Science teacher education in the twenty-first century: A pedagogical framework for technology-integrated social constructivism. *Research in Science Education*. 2017 Apr;47:283-303.
8. Hafsa S, Majid MA, Tawafak RM. Learnability factors of AR usage performance: Validating through survey. In 2021 International Conference on Software Engineering & Computer Systems and 4th International Conference on Computational Science and Information Management (ICSECS-ICOCSIM) 2021 Aug 24 (pp. 371-376). IEEE.
9. Leal Filho W, Raath S, Lazzarini B, Vargas VR, de Souza L, Anholon R, Quelhas OL, Haddad R, Klavins M, Orlovic VL. The role of transformation in learning and education for sustainability. *Journal of cleaner production*. 2018 Oct 20;199:286-95.
10. Tjisma G, Hilverda F, Scheffelaar A, Alders S, Schoonmade L, Blignaut N, Zweckhorst M. Becoming productive 21st century citizens: A systematic review

- uncovering design principles for integrating community service learning into higher education courses. *Educational Research*. 2020 Oct 1;62(4):390-413.
11. Hylton ME. The Role of Civic Literacy and Social Empathy on Rates of Civic Engagement among University Students. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*. 2018;22(1):87-106.
  12. Bridgstock R, Jackson D. Strategic institutional approaches to graduate employability: navigating meanings, measurements and what really matters. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*. 2019 Sep 3;41(5):468-84.
  13. Pikhart M, Al-Obaydi LH, Klimova B, Tawafak RM. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*.
  14. Tawafak RM, Al-Obaydi LH, Pikhart M. Competency categorization and roles of online teachers from the perspective of university students. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2023 Mar 2;14:1009000.
  15. Alfarsi G, Tawafak RM, ElDow A, Malik SI, Jabbar J, Al Sideiri A, Mathew R. General view about an artificial intelligence technology in education domain. In *Proceedings of the international conference on culture heritage, education, sustainable tourism, and innovation technologies 2021* (pp. 120-127).
  16. Lundgren C, Bokrantz J, Skoogh A. Performance indicators for measuring the effects of Smart Maintenance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. 2021 Jun 25;70(6):1291-316.
  17. Stachová K, Papula J, Stacho Z, Kohnová L. External partnerships in employee education and development as the key to facing industry 4.0 challenges. *Sustainability*. 2019 Jan 11;11(2):345.
  18. Santiago-Ortiz A. From critical to decolonizing service-learning: Limits and possibilities of social justice-based approaches to community service-learning. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*. 2019;25(1):43-54.
  19. Al Farsi G, Yusof AB, Fauzi WJ, Rusli ME, Malik SI, Tawafak RM, Mathew R, Jabbar J. The practicality of virtual reality applications in education: Limitations and recommendations. *Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences*. 2021 Aug 9;48(7).
  20. Koekkoek A, Van Ham M, Kleinhans R. Unraveling university-community engagement: A literature review. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*. 2021 Mar 31;25(1).
  21. Bloxsome D, Ireson D, Doleman G, Bayes S. Factors associated with midwives' job satisfaction and intention to stay in the profession: An integrative review. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2019 Feb;28(3-4):386-99.
  22. Pinto dos Santos D, Baeßler B. Big data, artificial intelligence, and structured reporting. *European radiology experimental*. 2018 Dec 5;2(1):42.
  23. Tawafak RM, Romli A, Al Sideiri A, Malik SI, Jabbar J. Concepts of e-learning performance on system use outcomes in Omani Universities. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 2021 Feb 1* (Vol. 1088, No. 1, p. 012008). IOP Publishing.
  24. Miglianico M, Dubreuil P, Miquelon P, Bakker AB, Martin-Krumm C. Strength use in the workplace: A literature review. *Journal of Happiness Studies*. 2020 Feb;21:737-64.