

Kanpur	0.0663	0.2575	0.2018	0.0936
Nagpur	0.1946	0.4411	0.2221	0.1748
Pune	0.1213	0.3483	0.1323	0.1414

To be more precise, Fig. 4 shows that while all meteorological elements are associated with rainfall, the effects of the numerous features are not as great as those of the other main features. WindGustKmph, FeelsLikeC, Humidity, CloudCover, and WindChillC are the top five desirable qualities that are most important, in that order. WindSpeedKmph, TempC, Visibility, MaxTempC, and HeatIndexC are the top five unfavourable characteristics that are most important, in that order.

The attribution value and the feature value of the rainfall show a negative/positive association, indicating that a greater value will have an inhibitory influence on the impending rainfall and cause it to be lower/higher. This could be explained by the red hue in Figure 4's SHAP Bee Swarm Plot.

We may better understand how the factors combine to produce the expected target variable by examining the individual SHAP force diagram [11]. The various feature contributions to local level predictions were shown by the SHAP force plots. Three crucial features define force plots. Examples of these include: i) base value, which is the average predicted observation of the test dataset; ii) output value, which is the expected value of each individual observation; and iii) colours, which indicate which variables push the prediction lower and which push the prediction higher, depending on their variable type [6]. Nevertheless, it deviates from the accepted method of analysing SHAP plots due to the presence of both positive and negative values. When the anticipated value is higher than the base value, the prediction is pushed higher by the red colour and lower by the blue colour, and vice versa. As a result, SHAP has been used for each city to interpret the model output for varying rainfall conditions and to comprehend the interactions among variables leading to the model output in Figure 5. Using SHAP force plots, a model explanation was produced for each city.

Variations in the research areas are probably the cause of the discrepancies in certain significant factors that were discovered [6]. The impact of negative features like WindSpeedKmph, Visibility, and MaxTempC and positive features like WindGustKmph, FeelsLikeC, and CloudCover on rainfall forecast is the same across all cities. But Positive feature WindChillC impact negatively in Bombay and Negative features TempC and HeatIndexC impact positively in Bombay and Bengaluru respectively.

V. CONCLUSION

The necessity of a XAI for rainfall prediction was discussed in the study, and the XAI model SHAP, which reveals the global feature contributions to rainfall prediction, was used to further confirm the ML model's operation. The SHAP Bee Swarm Plot and Force Plot have been used to compare the key characteristics of eight distinct cities: Bengaluru, Bombay, Delhi, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, and Pune. The top five negative features that matter the most are WindSpeedKmph, TemperatureC, Visibility, MaxTempC, and HeatIndexC, in that order; the top five positive features that matter the most are WindGustKmph, FeelsLikeC, Humidity, CloudCover, and WindChillC, in that order.

VI. FUTURE WORK

Going forward, the research will focus on deep learning models such as XGBoost, LightGBM, Bi-LSTM, SVM, CNN, RF, and human-machine interaction. By using the SHAP framework, users will be able to find a way to anticipate rainfall earlier in the upcoming years.

REFERENCES

- [1] Retsch, M., Jakob, C., Singh, M.: "Identifying relations between deep convection and the large-scale atmosphere using explainable artificial intelligence". *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 127(3), 2021–035388 (2022)
- [2] Schultz, M.G., Betancourt, C., Gong, B., Kleinert, F., Langguth, M., Leufen, L.H., Mozaffari, A., Stadler, S.: "Can deep learning beat numerical weather prediction?" *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* 379(2194), 20200097 (2021)
- [3] Jiang, S., Bevacqua, E., Zscheischler, J.: "River flooding mechanisms and their changes in europe revealed by explainable machine learning". *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 26(24), 6339–6359 (2022)
- [4] Abdellaoui, I.A., Mehrkanoon, S.: "Deep multi-stations weather forecasting: explainable recurrent convolutional neural networks". *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.11239* (2020)
- [5] Arcodia, M.C., Barnes, E.A., Mayer, K.J., Lee, J., Ordonez, A., Ahn, M.S.: "Assessing decadal variability of subseasonal forecasts of opportunity using explainable AI". *Environmental Research: Climate* 2(4), 045002 (2023)
- [6] Kaspi, M., Kuleshov, Y.: "Flood hazard assessment in australian tropical cycloneprone regions". *Climate* 11(11), 229 (2023)
- [7] Rampal, N., Gibson, P.B., Sood, A., Stuart, S., Fauchereau, N.C., Brandolino, C., Noll, B., Meyers, T.: "High resolution downscaling with interpretable deep learning: Rainfall extremes over new Zealand". *Weather and Climate Extremes* 38, 100525 (2022)
- [8] Wu, J., Wang, Z., Dong, J., Cui, X., Tao, S., Chen, X.: "Robust runoff prediction with explainable artificial intelligence and meteorological variables from deep learning ensemble model". *Water Resources Research* 59(9), 2023–035676 (2023)
- [9] Prasanth Kadiyala, S., Woo, W.L.: "Flood prediction and analysis on the relevance of features using explainable artificial intelligence". In: *2021 2nd Artificial Intelligence and Complex Systems Conference*, pp. 1–6 (2021)
- [10] Ba şa ğao ğlu, H., Chakraborty, D., Lago, C.D., Gutierrez, L., Ş ahinli, M.A., Giacomoni, M., Furl, C., Mirchi, A., Moriasi, D., S eng ö r, S.S.: "A review on interpretable and explainable artificial intelligence in hydroclimatic applications". *Water* 14(8), 1230 (2022)
- [11] Dikshit, A., Pradhan, B.: "Interpretable and explainable ai (xai) model for spatial drought prediction". *Science of the Total Environment* 801, 149797 (2021)

-
- [12] Jesus, S., Belém, C., Balayan, V., Bento, J., Saleiro, P., Bizarro, P., Gama, J.: “How can i choose an explainer? an application-grounded evaluation of post-hoc explanations”. In: Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, pp. 805–815 (2021)
- [13] He, R., Zhang, L., Chew, A.W.Z.: “Data-driven multi-step prediction and analysis of monthly rainfall using explainable deep learning”. *Expert Systems with Applications* 235, 121160 (2024)
- [14] Senocak, A.U.G., Yilmaz, M.T., Kalkan, S., Yucel, I., Amjad, M.: “An explainable two-stage machine learning approach for precipitation forecast”. *Journal of Hydrology* 627, 130375 (2023)
- [15] Jing, X., Luo, J., Zuo, G., Yang, X.: “Interpreting runoff forecasting of long short-term memory network: An investigation using the integrated gradient method on runoff data from the Han River basin”. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies* 50, 101549 (2023)
- [16] Prasad, P.S.H., Satyanarayana, A.: “Assessment of outdoor thermal comfort during the last decade using landsat 8 imagery with machine learning tools over the three metropolitan cities of India”. *Environmental Sciences Proceedings* 29(1), 37 (2023)
- [17] Kadiyala, S.P., Woo, W.L.: “Flood prediction and analysis on the relevance of features using explainable artificial intelligence”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.05046* (2022)
- [18] Ma, S., Zayed, T., Xing, J., Shao, Y.: “A state-of-the-art review for the prediction of overflow in urban sewer systems”. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 139923 (2023)
- [19] Sun, Z., Sandoval, L., Crystal-Ornelas, R., Mousavi, S.M., Wang, J., Lin, C., Cristea, N., Tong, D., Carande, W.H., Ma, X., et al.: “A review of earth artificial intelligence”. *Computers & Geosciences* 159, 105034 (2022)
- [20] Van Straaten, C., Whan, K., Coumou, D., Hurk, B., Schmeits, M.: “Using explainable machine learning forecasts to discover subseasonal drivers of high summer temperatures in western and central Europe”. *Monthly Weather Review* 150(5), 1115–1134 (2022)
- [21] Sahakyan, M., Aung, Z., Rahwan, T.: “Explainable artificial intelligence for tabular data: A survey”. *IEEE access* 9, 135392–135422 (2021)
- [22] Vlahek, D., Mongus, D.: “An efficient iterative approach to explainable feature learning”. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* (2021)
- [23] Aydin, H.E., Iban, M.C.: “Predicting and analyzing flood susceptibility using boosting-based ensemble machine learning algorithms with shapley additive explanations”. *Natural Hazards* 116(3), 2957–2991 (2023)